



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/636,783  | 08/11/2000  | Hidefumi Yamashita   | 13783 (JP9-1999-0150<br>US1) | 8476             |
| 7590  | 10/17/2002  |                      |                              |                  |
| Scully Scott Murphy & Presser<br>400 Garden City Plaza<br>Garden City, NY 11530 |             |                      | EXAMINER                     | NGUYEN, HOAN C   |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 2871                         |                  |

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                              |                        |                     |  |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                              | 09/636,783             | YAMASHITA ET AL.    |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                              | HOAN C. NGUYEN         | 2871                |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 September 2002.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) Claim(s) 1,4-11,13 and 14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 August 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
  - a) All b) Some \* c) None of:  
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
  - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                              | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____  |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Response to Amendment***

Applicant's arguments with respect to Amended claims 1, 4, 5, 8 and 10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Therefore, this is Final action.

Applicant cancelled claims 2, 3 and 12.

Applicant makes no argument on the rejections of

- Claims 2 and 3 whose limitations are added in the amended claim 1;
- Claim 12 whose limitations are added in the amended claim 10.

### ***Drawings***

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "an alignment film is applied after the formation of said wall-like structure, and then said seal member is applied outside said wall-like structure" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Applicant amends only Fig. 6b, not Figs.6c-e for complete process.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1, 4-55 and 7-11, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colgan et al. (US5831710A) from applicant's IDS.

In regard to claims 1, 4-5 and 7, Colgan et al. teach (Figs. 2 and 6) a liquid crystal display device which has first and second substrates disposed with a predetermined gap, and seals a liquid crystal in the gap, comprising:

- a seal member (adhesive 52) provided at the gap between said first and second substrates (substrate 40 and cover 50), said seal member being disposed outside a display area to seal said liquid crystal 53;
- a wall-like structure (barrier 25) disposed outside the display area and inside the seal member, said wall-like structure being made of a different material from that of said seal member and formed in plural rows (col. 5, lines 18-23); said wall-like structure is composed of dashed rows having notches; said notches of said wall-like structure are formed alternately in the plurality of dashed rows so that said seal material does not flow directly into said display area (col. 5, lines 18-23).

wherein (Figs. 2 and 7)

- a column-like structure for keeping the gap between said first and second substrates constant is provided, and a shape of said wall-like structure is determined based on a state of said column-like structure in regard to claim 4.
- positions of the notches of the plural dashed rows in said wall-like structure are determined based on a position of a wiring formed either on said first substrate or on said second substrate (Fig. 7) in regard to claim 5.
- A wall-like structure comprising a plurality of parallel rows of staggered notched walls disposed outside said display area and inside said seal member, said wall-like structure being for preventing said seal member from flowing into said display area in regard to claim 7.

In regard to claim 8, Colgan et al. teach (Figs. 2-7) a liquid crystal display device, wherein said seal member flows out in a fluidized state when said second substrate is pressed into said first substrate while heating said first and second substrates, and said wall-like structure is capable of stopping said seal member from entering said display area, through said staggered notched walls 25 as shown in Figs. 2 and 7, said seal member being in a fluidized state, and permitting said liquid crystal to flow into outside the wall-like structure when said liquid crystal flows out from said display area. This is conventional technique of sealing the seal member and injecting the liquid crystal materials.

In regard to claim 9, Colgan et al. teach (Figs. 2-7) the liquid crystal display device, wherein said wall-like structure prevents air traps from occurring when said liquid crystal to be sealed flows into said display area by forming the meniscus of the liquid crystal material.

In regard to claim 10, Colgan et al. teach (Figs. 2-7) the liquid crystal display device comprising the steps of

- applying resin onto a first substrate, and patterning said resin to form a frame-shaped wall-like structure surrounding a display electrode; said wall like structure takes a frame-shaped structure composed of a plurality of rows, each row showing a dashed line shape have predetermined notches 25 (Figs. 2 and 7) in staggered offset relationship to each other so as to inhibit flow of said seal member therethrough toward said liquid crystal;
- arranging a second substrate so as, to face said first substrate on which said seal member is applied,
- pressing said second substrates to each other by said seal material as prior art disclosed in Fig. 1 (col. 3, lines 15-17);
- injecting a liquid crystal into a gap between said first and second substrates, which are adhered to each other. This is well known prior art.

wherein

- a column like structure for regulating a size of the gap between said first and second substrates is formed together with said wall-like structure by patterning in regard to claim 11;
- it is well-known art that said wall like structure is formed by applying photosensitive resin onto said first substrate, performing a UV exposure for the resin using a photomask to pattern the wall-like structure, and curing the resin to harden the resin according to claim 13;
- it is well-known art that an alignment film is applied after the formation of said wall-like structure for regulating orientation the liquid crystal molecules according to claim 14.

2. Claims 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colgan et al. (US5831710A) as applied to claim 1, in view of Haven (EP0113064A1) from applicant's IDS.

Haven teaches (Figs. 2 and 6) a liquid crystal display device, wherein said wall-like structure (a raised ledge 53) is formed to a height lower than that of the gap formed between said first substrate and said second substrate for reducing the meniscus of the liquid crystal material.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a liquid crystal display device as Colgan et al. disclosed with the wall-like structure formed to a height lower than that of the gap formed between said first substrate and said second substrate for reducing the meniscus of the liquid crystal material.

Application/Control Number: 09/636,783  
Art Unit: 2871

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 306-0472. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY:8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, SIKES L WILLIAM can be reached on (703) 308-4842. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-8178 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0530.

HOAN C. NGUYEN  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2871

Chn

October 2, 2002

  
TOANTON  
PRIMARY EXAMINER